

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 2469 HB	<b>Title:</b> Involuntary treatment	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

**Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

NONE

**Estimated Expenditures from:**

NONE

**Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

NONE

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Edie Adams	Phone: 360-786-7180	Date: 01/24/2024
Agency Preparation: Chris Conn	Phone: 360-704-5512	Date: 01/29/2024
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/29/2024
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

192,206.00

Request # 147-1

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill amends RCWs relating to involuntary treatment.

Sec. 1: Amends language, requiring courts to review JIS to determine whether defendant has, inter alia, prior civil commitments

Secs. 2-3: Amends language, creating a presumption that, if the person has a history of not following through with voluntary evaluation & treatment or prematurely ends treatment, then they won't seek appropriate treatment in the future. If so, then DCRs that refuse to detain the person must document the reasons for their determination.

Secs. 4-5: Amends language, permitting respondent or their counsel to waive the notice requirements under RCW 71.05.240 if they waive their presence

Sec. 6: Amends language, requiring courts to review JIS to determine whether defendant has prior civil commitments before deciding under this section

Secs. 10, 12-13: Amends language, permitting any family or household member, human services provider, or person authorized under RCW 71.05.148 to file a Joel's Law petition; defines "family or household member" and "human services provider"

Sec. 11: Amends language, removing current list of petitioners in favor for those listed under RCW 71.05.201

### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

### II. C - Expenditures

No or minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

### III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

### III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

### IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

### IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

192,206.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

2

Request # 147-1

Bill # 2469 HB

**IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)**

NONE

**IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)**

NONE

**IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout**

*Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.*

NONE

None